From:
 East Anglia Two

 Cc:
 Cc:

**Subject:** Scottish Power Renewables Planning Application - Aldeburgh and Environs

**Date:** 02 February 2021 12:47:32

## **Dear Examiners**

I write to object to the above power project.

Scottish Power Renewables (SPR) now says that up to 300 heavy aggregate lorries will pass down the A1094 from the A12 to the Aldeburgh roundabout and then along the Aldeburgh/Leiston road. This will create an unacceptable level of additional traffic along those roads which will undermine the local tourist-based economy. The local economy is primarily based on the quality of the landscape, the character of the town and the popularity of the sailing, the music and the golf all of which will be badly harmed if the project proceeds.

It is currently estimated that in summer there is 1 traffic movement along the A1094 every 11 seconds during working hours but the estimated additional 300 lorries presents an unacceptable additional load.

The proposed traffic lights at the A1094 turning at the A12 Friday Street junction will cause large tailbacks at the junction on one of East Anglia's principal roads.

Those turning from Snape village onto the road to Aldeburgh at Snape crossroads or closer to Aldeburgh will find it very difficult if not impossible at times to enter the traffic. There are already sometimes queues in the summer at the Snape turning of up to one quarter of a mile and longer.

A Zebra crossing will be needed outside Aldeburgh Golf Club as players cross the road to the 9 hole course in already very dangerous traffic conditions and the additional heavy lorries will only compound the problem.

At Aldeburgh traffic will be brought to a standstill for long periods at the roundabout as the traffic leaving Aldeburgh and heading up the Leiston Road has the right of way over the line of traffic coming up to the roundabout on the A1094. There will be substantial lines of traffic queuing at the roundabout.

SPR's representative believes that the loss of visitors / tourist income can be mitigated by their workers using the B & B and hotel accommodation in the local area but this ignores the fact that most of the available holiday accommodation is unsuitable as much of it comprises family-size homes not the sort of accommodation sought by a temporary workforce.

I support offshore wind farm projects but there are clearly less damaging ways that the power generated by them can be harnessed offshore or brought ashore at a more suitable location.

Regards

Colin Chamberlain

